

Hawaii Department of Human Services, Med-QUEST Division 2005–2006 PIP Validation Tool for < QUEST Plan Name>

| C* 6. Has the potential to affect member health, | Totals for A** Activity I A** | ** This number tallies the total number of pritical analysis of pritical and the same of pritical analysis of pritical analysis. |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
|--|--------------------------------|--|



Hawaii Department of Human Services, Med-QUEST Division 2005–2006 PIP Validation Tool for <QUEST Plan Name>

| Totals for Activity II | | | II. Clearly Defined, Answerable Study Question | ACTIVITIES Performance Im |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| * * | Q* | | g s | prove |
| | C* 2. Is answerable/provable. | States the problem to be studied in simple terms. | The written study question or hypothesis: | Performance Improvement Project/Health Care Study Evaluation |
| MetPartially MetNot MetN/A | ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A | ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A | | SCORING |
| | | | Stating the question(s) helps maintain the focus of the PIP and sets the framework for data collection, analysis, and interpretation. | COMMENTS |

[&]quot;C" in this column denotes a critical evaluation element.

^{**} This number tallies the total number of critical evaluation elements for this review activity.



Hawaii Department of Human Services, Med-QUEST Division 2005–2006 PIP Validation Tool for < QUEST Plan Name >

| C | | C ₄ | | Ç | III. Clearly Defined Study Indicator(s) | Performance Improv | ACTIVITIES |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------|
| 5. | .4 | μ | 2. | | Stuc | emen | |
| Have available data that can be collected on each indicator. | Measure changes (outcomes) in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives. | Allow for the study question/hypothesis to be answered or proven. | Are based on practice guidelines, with sources identified. | Are well defined, objective, and measurable. | Study indicators: | Performance Improvement Project/Health Care Study Evaluation | EVALUATION ELEMENTS |
| ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met | ☐ Met ☐ | ☐ Met ☐ | ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met | ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met | | | |
| Partially l | Partially Met | Partially Met | Partially N | Partially N | | | SC |
| | | · | i e | <u> </u> | | | SCORING |
| Not Met N/A | □ Not Met □ N/A | □ Not Met □ N/A | □ Not Met □ N/A | □ Not Met □ N/A | | | |
| □ N/A | □ N/A | □ N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | | | | | A study indicator is a quantitative or qualitative characteristic or variable that reflects a discrete event (e.g., an older adult has not received a flu shot in the last twelve months) or a status (e.g., a member's blood pressure is/is not below a specified level) that is to be measured. The selected indicators should track performance or improvement over time. The indicators should be objective, clearly and unambiguously defined, and based on current clinical knowledge or health services research. | | COMMENTS |



Hawaii Department of Human Services, Med-QUEST Division 2005–2006 PIP Validation Tool for <QUEST Plan Name>

| erformance Imp | Performance Improvement Project/Health Care Study Evaluation | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| III. Clearly Defined | d Study indicators: | | A study indicator is a quantitative or qualitative characteristic or |
| Study Indicator(s) | | | variable that reflects a discrete |
| | | | event (e.g., an older adult has not |
| | | | received a flu shot in the last |
| | | | twelve months) or a status (e.g., a |
| | | | member's blood pressure is/is not |
| | | | below a specified level) that is to |
| | | | be measured. The selected |
| | | | indicators should track |
| | | | performance or improvement over |
| | | | time. The indicators should be |
| | | | objective, clearly and |
| | | | unambiguously defined, and based |
| | | | on current clinical knowledge or |
| elyanostanyu maga naragaga Hidadaa Hinaa Hidadaa da d | ter result variety with the histories and approximate resources and the first and a section of the approximate of the first and appr | | health services research. |
| | 6. Are nationally recognized measures such as HEDIS, when appropriate. | Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A | |
| | 7. Include the basis on which each indicator was adopted, if internally developed. | ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A | |
| Totals for Activity III | ** | Met Partially Met Not Met N/A | |

2005–2006 PIP Validation Tool Hawaii Department of Human Services, Med-QUEST Division

[&]quot;C" in this column denotes a critical evaluation element.

^{**} This number tallies the total number of critical evaluation elements for this review activity.



Hawaii Department of Human Services, Med-QUEST Division 2005–2006 PIP Validation Tool for < QUEST Plan Name>

| Totals for Activity IV | | | | Performance Impi IV. Correctly Identified Study Population | A COLUMN |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---------------------|
| 2* | δ | | ೧ | V OVe | |
| | 3. | 2. 1 | | The | |
| | Captures all members to whom the study question applies. | Includes requirements for the length of a member's enrollment in the QUEST Plan. | 1. Is accurately and completely defined. | Performance Improvement Project/Health Care Study Evaluation IV. Correctly Identified Study population: Population Population: | EVALUATION ELEMENTS |
| Met Partially Met Not Met N/A | ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A | ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A | ☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ N/A | | SCORING |
| | | | | The selected topic should represent the entire eligible Medicaidenrolled population, with systemwide measurement and improvement efforts to which the PIP study indicators apply. | COMMENIS |

[&]quot;C" in this column denotes a critical evaluation element.

^{**} This number tallies the total number of critical evaluation elements for this review activity.



Hawaii Department of Human Services, Med-QUEST Division 2005–2006 PIP Validation Tool for <QUEST Plan Name>

| | SCORING COMMENTS |
|---|---|
| Performance Improvement Project/Health Care Study Evaluation | |
| V. Valid Sampling Sampling techniques: Techniques | If sampling is to be used to select members of the study, proper sampling techniques are necessary |
| | ito provide valid and reliable information on the quality of care provided. The true prevalence or incidence rate for the event in the population may not be known the first time a topic is studied. |
| — 1. Consider and specify the true or estimated ☐ Met ☐ Partially M frequency of occurrence (or the number of eligible members in the population). | ally Met |
| 2. Identify the sample size (or use the entire | Met Partially Met Not Met N/A |
| — 3. Specify the confidence interval to be used |] Met Partially Met Not Met N/A |
| 4. Specify the acceptable margin of error (or Met Partially Muse the entire population). | ally Met Not Met N/A |
| C* 5. Ensure a representative sample of the | |
| brinciples of research design and statistical | Met Partially Met Not Met N/A |
| analysis. | Met |

^{**} This number tallies the total number of critical evaluation elements for this review activity.